





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,633	01/29/2001	Nils B. Lahr	39512A	6758
22206 75	90 06/04/2004		EXAMI	NER
FELLERS SNIDER BLANKENSHIP BAILEY & TIPPENS			COFFY, EMMANUEL	
THE KENNED			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
321 SOUTH BOSTON SUITE 800 TULSA, OK 74103-3318			2157	
ĻŲLSA, OK	/4105-3318		DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	. 7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •		■ APC
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
,	09/770,633	LAHR, NILS B.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Emmanuel Coffy	2157
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  oirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29.	Januarv 2001.	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		•
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		<del></del>
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea</li> </ol>		n received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	` ''	ot received.
attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	8) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office  OL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 9



Art Unit: 2157

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is responsive to the application filed on January 29, 2001. Claims 1-15 are pending. Claims 1-15 are directed to a method, computer program and apparatus for a "Method And Apparatus For Encoder-Based Distribution of Live Video and Other Streaming Content."
- 2. It is noted that this application appears to claim subject matter disclosed in prior Application No. 60/178,749, filed on 01/28/2000.

### Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informality: irrelevant information on page 1 at line 5:"Streaming Media Transparency" is abandoned.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Objections

4. Claims 2, 3, 4, and 5 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a dependent claim should further limit the claim dependent upon. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Page 2





**Art Unit: 2157** 

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-7 directed to a method, and apparatus respectively are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Monteiro et al. (US 6,119,163).

## Claim 1:

A method of preparing content for distribution in an Internet broadcast system for streaming media comprising the steps of: (See col. 4, lines 17-24).

obtaining content intended for distribution via broadcast; (See col. 3, lines 3-7). repacketizing said content to generate a broadcast Internet Protocol stream, said stream comprising sequence numbers and time stamps for packets in said content; (See col. 1, lines 42-49 and lines 28-31, col. 6, lines 65-67).

storing stream information relating to said stream comprising at least one of identification of input source, destination, groups of devices selected to receive said stream, and stream identification; and (See col. 3, lines 3-10).

assigning said stream an Internet Protocol address and port in said broadcast system for transmission, said stream information allowing for monitoring recovery of said stream at said destination. (See col. 3, lines 64-66 and 51-54).

#### Claim 2:



Art Unit: 2157

Claim 2 recites the limitation wherein said repacketizing step comprises the step of wrapping said packets in said stream using real-time transport protocol. (See col. 4, lines 9-14).

### Claim 3

Claim 3 recites the limitation further comprising the step of transmitting said stream using a real-time streaming protocol connection. (See col. 4, lines 11-14).

#### Claim 4:

Claim 4 recites a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said obtaining step comprises the step of receiving content from different types of media players, and said repacketizing step comprises the step of wrapping packets from said media players using the same broadcast IP protocol. (See col. 4, lines 31-35 and col. 2, lines 17-21).

### Claim 5:

It recites a method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising the step of transmitting said stream, said stream comprising said content and auxiliary information comprising information relating to codecs and bit rates used to generate said content and data to facilitate reception and identification of said stream when packets therein are received at a reception site. (See col. 5, lines 29-31, 1-3 and col. 3, lines 36-39).

## Claim 6:

Claim 6 recites a method as claimed in claim 5, wherein said auxiliary information is updated during said stream. (See col. 5, lines 29-31 – Also see col. 1, lines 27-31).

Claim 7:



Art Unit: 2157

Claim 7 is for a method as claimed in claim 5, wherein a device for transmitting said stream and a device for receiving said stream communicate via a real-time streaming protocol connection, said transmitting step comprising the step of updating said auxiliary data during said connection. (See col. 4, lines 9-11 and col. 5, lines 31-36).

Claims 8-9 directed to a computer program product are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Gordon et al. (US 6,651,252).

### Claim 8:

A computer program product for preparing content for distribution in an Internet broadcast system for streaming media comprising:

a computer-readable medium; (See col. 22, lines 58-63).

an encoding module stored on said computer-readable medium for receiving streams from different media players and wrapping packets in respective streams using a broadcast Internet Protocol common to all of said media players, said encoding module providing auxiliary information in each said stream that relates to that stream; (See col. 7, lines 24-27, col. 7, lines 14-16, col. 8, lines 61-64).

a reception control module stored on said computer-readable medium and being operable to store information relating to respective said streams to facilitate reception thereof; and (See col. 3, lines 2-5).

a transmission module stored on said computer-readable medium for commencing and terminating connections to transmit said streams via said Internet broadcast system and operating in conjunction with said reception control module to



Art Unit: 2157

update said auxiliary information during said stream. (See col. 6, lines 20-22, 53-54, col.

5, lines 39-42).

Claim 9:

A computer program product as claimed in claim 8, wherein said encoding module, said

reception control module and said transmission module are compiled in an encoder to

allow said encoder to appear at a large number of locations in a network to other

network devices. (See col. 22, lines 51-57).

Claims 12-15 directed to an apparatus are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being

anticipated by Monteiro et al. (US 6,119,163).

<u>Claim 12</u>:

An apparatus for content distribution comprising:

a server; and (See col. 3, lines 11-14).

an encoding module operable with said server to encode packets to be output via

said server into a selected format for transmission as a broadcast Internet Protocol

stream. (See col. 2, lines 25-28 and col. 1, lines 42-48).

<u>Claim 13</u>:

Claim 13 recites an apparatus as claimed in claim 12, wherein said encoding module is

operable to encode said packets corresponding to different streams being served via

said server with header information to facilitate which of said packets belong to which of

said streams during reception. (See col. 2, lines 25-27 and col. 3, lines 64-66).

<u>Claim 14</u>:

Page 6



Art Unit: 2157

Claim 14 recites An apparatus as claimed in claim 13, wherein said header information comprises at least one of bit rates used by said encoding module, audio channel information, video channel information, stereo reception, surround-sound reception, packet sequence numbers, time stamps relating to at least one of said packets and said streams. (See col. 5, lines 29-36 and col. 1, lines 27-31).

### Claim 15:

Claim 15 recites an apparatus as claimed in claim 13, wherein receivers of said streams employ said header information for converting said broadcast Internet Protocol to another protocol, said header information being dynamically updated. (See col. 6, lines 65 through col. 7, lines 1-5 – Also see col. 1, lines 27-31).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon et al. (US 6,651,252) in view of Coley et al. (US 5,826,014).

Claims 10 and 11 recite the limitations of a computer program product wherein: a) said encoding module, said reception control module and said transmission module are compiled in an encoder to configure said encoder with a proxy for communicating with another device and b) said computer program product is implemented as a stand-alone



Art Unit: 2157

application provided at the output of an encoder to configure said encoder with a proxy for communicating with another device.

Gordon teaches a computer program product and the implementation of the encoder as software at col. 22, lines 58-64 and col. 7, lines 24-27. However, Gordon does not explicitly teach the concept of configuring the encoder with a proxy for communicating with another device. But, Coley does teach about proxy at col. 6, lines 4-20.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to combine the use of encoder as a stand-alone application taught by Gordon with the proxy configuration function disclosed by Coley.

The motivation is because TCP/IP and UDP/IP do not provide a great degree of security. Thus, incorporating a proxy with the encoder provides for a robust system.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Gordon et al. (U.S. 6,481,012) teaches "Picture-In-Picture and Multiple Video
     Streams Using Slice-Based Encoding."
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel Coffy whose telephone number is (703) 305-0325. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 5:00 P.M.

Page 9



Application/Control Number: 09/770,633

Art Unit: 2157

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (703) 308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Emmanuel Coffy Patent Examiner Art Unit 2157

EC

May 11, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100